

## Fiscal Year 2013 EMTS Provider Grant Scoring Tool

Service Need		
Within each category there are high, medium and low priorities. Score each category individually using the guidance below.		
<b>15 points possible per category of request</b>		
Level 1 (1-5 pts)	Level 2 (6-10 pts)	Level 3 (11-15 pts)
<b>Ambulance/other vehicle:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little or no evidence demonstrating need for a new or replacement vehicle.</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul>	<b>Ambulance/other vehicle:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good evidence of need for new or replacement</li> <li>• Vehicle to upgrade level of service or for anticipated changes</li> <li>• Vehicle to extend the service coverage area</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul>	<b>Ambulance/other vehicle:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strong, convincing evidence of need for a new or replacement vehicle</li> <li>• Vehicle needed to maintain current level of service</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul>
<b>Communications:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maintaining currently available EMD systems</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul>	<b>Communications:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development of new and expanded systems to upgrade level of communications</li> <li>• Upgrade to existing EMD system</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul>	<b>Communications:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maintenance of essential dispatch and medical communications</li> <li>• Communication studies and/or system assessment</li> <li>• Implement initial EMD system</li> <li>• Equipment upgrades to comply with regulatory requirements or operating parameters imposed by external authority</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul>
<b>Data Collection:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hardware and software replacements or upgrades where there is little or no evidence demonstrating need</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul>	<b>Data Collection:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hardware and software replacements or upgrades needed to maintain compatibility within the state and region</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul>	<b>Data Collection:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hardware and software initial purchases or upgrades essential to establish a link with a mandated or essential data collection system within the state and region</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul>
<b>Equipment:</b> <b>General EMS and trauma equipment</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Durable (not disposable) emergency medical and trauma equipment where there is little or no evidence demonstrating clearly defined need</li> <li>• Non-patient care specialized rescue equipment</li> <li>• Durable medical equipment with little or no evidence of need</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul>	<b>Equipment:</b> <b>General EMS and trauma equipment</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Durable not disposable emerg med/trauma equip to upgrade level of svc or for anticipated changes</li> <li>• Disaster medical equipment</li> <li>• Durable medical equip with good evid. of need</li> <li>• Durable medical equip mandated by regulation or required for accreditation or certification to upgrade level of service</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul>	<b>Equipment:</b> <b>General EMS and trauma equipment</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Durable (not disposable) emergency medical and trauma equipment essential to establish and maintain current level of service</li> <li>• Durable medical equip with strong evid of need</li> <li>• Durable medical equipment mandated by regulation or required for accreditation or certification to provide current level of service</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul>

## Fiscal Year 2013 EMTS Provider Grant Scoring Tool

<p>Equipment continued:</p> <p><b>Defibrillators/cardiac monitors</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For public placement</li> <li>• Request is without documentation of need or risk</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul> <p><b>Extrication equipment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Extrication equipment upgrades for agencies with existing resources</li> <li>• Little to no evidence of need</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul> <p><b>Training equipment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training equipment where there is little to no evidence of need</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul>	<p>Equipment continued:</p> <p><b>Defibrillators/cardiac monitors</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requests for 12 leads in emergency cardiac care systems for placement on emergency vehicle(s) or ambulance receiving facilities with good documentation of need or risk</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul> <p><b>Extrication equipment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good evidence of need for agencies with limited extrication resources in their area</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul> <p><b>Training equipment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training equipment to upgrade level of service or for anticipated changes</li> <li>• Some evidence of need</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul>	<p>Equipment continued:</p> <p><b>Defibrillators/cardiac monitors</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request for 12 leads in emergency cardiac care systems for placement on emergency vehicle(s) or ambulance receiving facilities with strong or compelling documentation of need or risk</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul> <p><b>Extrication equipment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Well documented need for extrication equipment in the area</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul> <p><b>Training equipment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training equipment essential to maintain current level of service</li> <li>• Strong evidence of need</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul>
<p>Injury Prevention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides little or no evidence of need</li> <li>• Project only involves the applicant-not part of community coalition</li> <li>• Provides little or no evidence project will use proven injury prevention strategies (Best Practices)</li> <li>• Project has weak or no evaluation plan</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul>	<p>Injury Prevention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides good evidence of identified need based on local data</li> <li>• Project working with other community groups or entities</li> <li>• Provides good evidence project will use proven injury prevention strategies (Best Practices)</li> <li>• Project has evaluation plan that includes measurable objectives</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul>	<p>Injury Prevention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project has clearly identified goals and outcomes based on need</li> <li>• Provides strong evidence of need based on local data and using state or local planning documents</li> <li>• Project is part of a multifaceted community-wide coalition plan</li> <li>• Provides strong evidence project will use proven injury prevention strategies (Best Practices)</li> <li>• Project has a detailed evaluation plan including measurable objectives that involve collecting injury data and observational or written surveys</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul>
<p>Other:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides little or no evidence the project benefits the EMTS system</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul>	<p>Other:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides good evidence the project benefits the EMTS system</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul>	<p>Other:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides strong and compelling evidence the project benefits the EMTS system</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul>

## Fiscal Year 2013 EMTS Provider Grant Scoring Tool

<p>Personnel/Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little or no evidence of need for grant funds to support salary or personnel costs</li> <li>• Little or no evidence the project will benefit the applying entity and the EMTS system</li> <li>• Little or no evidence that support of salary or personnel costs will continue beyond the fiscal year</li> <li>• Short-term or temporary project without specific end date or little evidence of need</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul>	<p>Personnel/Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good evidence of need for grant funds to support salary or personnel costs</li> <li>• Good evidence of identified need and specifics on how the project will benefit the EMTS system</li> <li>• Good evidence of sustainability beyond the fiscal year</li> <li>• Short-term or temporary project with specific end date and good evidence of need</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul>	<p>Personnel/Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strong and compelling evidence of need</li> <li>• Strong and compelling evidence of benefit to the EMTS system</li> <li>• Strong and compelling evidence of long-range plan and sustainability beyond the fiscal year</li> <li>• Short-term or temporary project with specific end date and strong evidence of need</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul>
<p>Recruitment and Retention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little or no evidence the project will address recruitment or retention concerns or problems</li> <li>• Little or no evidence the project is based on established best practices</li> <li>• Little or no mention of an evaluation plan</li> <li>• Provides little or no indication of local support</li> </ul>	<p>Recruitment and Retention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good evidence of identified need based on local data</li> <li>• Good evidence the project is based on established best practices</li> <li>• Project has an evaluation plan but measurable objectives are unclear</li> <li>• Provides some indication of local support</li> </ul>	<p>Recruitment and Retention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strong evidence of need based on local data and using state or local planning documents</li> <li>• Strong evidence the project is based on established and proven best practices</li> <li>• Evaluation plan includes measurable objectives and describes how performance will be measured</li> <li>• Provides strong indication of local support</li> </ul>
<p><b>Priority to Underdeveloped or Aged Systems</b>  Highest priority must be given to applicants where emergency medical and trauma services systems are underdeveloped or aged.  <b>10 points possible</b></p>		
<p>Level 1 (1-3 pts)</p>	<p>Level 2 (4-7 pts)</p>	<p>Level 3 (8-10 pts)</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides little or no evidence the project addresses underdeveloped or aged emergency medical and trauma services equipment or systems</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides good evidence the project addresses underdeveloped or aged emergency medical and trauma services equipment or systems</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides convincing evidence the project addresses underdeveloped or aged emergency medical and trauma services equipment or systems</li> </ul>

## Fiscal Year 2013 EMTS Provider Grant Scoring Tool

<p><b>Cost Effective Project Budget</b> Does the budget correlate to the deliverables, and is there evidence of cost effectiveness? <b>10 points possible</b></p>		
Level 1 (1-3 pt)	Level 2 (4-7 pts)	Level 3 (8-10 pts)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Did not evaluate alternatives or shows little to no evidence project is the most cost effective option</li> <li>• Little or no correlation between the expenditures and the proposed project</li> <li>• Does not state how funds will be spent</li> <li>• Provides vague budget notes justifying items listed</li> <li>• Does not show how the project will be sustained financially in subsequent years, if applicable</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evaluated some alternatives and shows good evidence project is most cost effective option</li> <li>• Shows some correlation between the expenditures and the proposed project</li> <li>• States how funds will be spent</li> <li>• Provides budget notes justifying each item listed</li> <li>• Provides some explanation for how the project will be sustained in subsequent years, if applicable</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evaluated alternatives and shows strong evidence project is most cost effective option</li> <li>• Shows strong correlation between the expenditures and the proposed project</li> <li>• Clearly demonstrates the cost effectiveness of the budget</li> <li>• Provides details and convincing budget notes justifying each item</li> <li>• Provides a detailed explanation and appropriate anticipated budget for how the project will be sustained in subsequent years, if applicable</li> </ul>
<p><b>Applicant's Qualifications</b> Does the applicant demonstrate the resources and experience necessary to successfully implement the project? Will the applicant's qualifications help ensure long-term sustainability? <b>5 points possible</b></p>		
Level 1 (1 pt)	Level 2 (2-3 pts)	Level 3 (4-5 pts)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little or no evidence the applicant has the resources and experience needed to successfully implement the project</li> <li>• Does not clearly explain how the applicant's qualifications will help ensure long-term sustainability</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides good evidence the applicant has the resources and experience needed to successfully implement the project</li> <li>• Somewhat explains how the applicant's qualifications will help ensure long-term sustainability</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides strong evidence the applicant has the resources and experience needed to successfully implement the project</li> <li>• Clearly explains how the applicant's qualifications will help ensure long-term sustainability</li> </ul>

## Fiscal Year 2013 EMTS Provider Grant Scoring Tool

<p><b>Systems Integration</b>                  Is the project compatible with Colorado's EMS and trauma systems? Is there any indication there will be unnecessary duplication?  <b>5 points possible</b></p>		
Level 1 (1 pt)	Level 2 (2-3 pts)	Level 3 (4-5 pts)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides little or no evidence the project addresses and improves system compatibility</li> <li>• Project duplicates services already available in the area</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides good evidence the project addresses and improves system compatibility</li> <li>• Little indication project duplicates services already available in the area</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides strong evidence the project addresses and improves system compatibility</li> <li>• No indication project duplicates services already available in the area</li> </ul>
<p><b>Financial Need</b>                  Does the applicant clearly substantiate financial need for grant funds with documentation?  <b>5 points possible</b></p>		
Level 1 (1 pt)	Level 2 (2-3 pts)	Level 3 (4-5 pts)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides little or no evidence there is a financial need that would prevent the applicant from completing the project without grant funds</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides good evidence of need for financial assistance with the proposed project</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides strong and convincing evidence of need for financial assistance with the proposed project</li> </ul>